Mismatch Losses in HelioScope

Paul Gibbs May 2, 2013

FULSOM LABS

Presented at the 2013 Sandia PV Performance Modeling Workshop Santa Clara, CA. May 1-2, 2013 Published by Sandia National Laboratories with the Permission of the Author.

What is HelioScope?

- Component-driven
- Design-integrated
- Cloud-based
- Launching summer 2013





HelioScope defines mismatch as any power lost due to a module being driven off-MPP

- Mismatch losses are *not* an input factor
- Sources of mismatch are hard to disaggregate





Mismatch is actually a system integration loss





Traditionally, mismatch is primarily based on two sources





In reality, there are many second-order sources of mismatch loss





Orientation: can define heterogeneous arrays with one or multiple inverters







Orientation: mismatch losses are low when the strings are wired in parallel



Trina PA04 240W, Sacramento, 20° Tilt, Strings of 12, 10:00AM 1/12



Near Shading: each module is treated as a single diode, string effects are modeled based on design

- 3d Models from Google Sketchup
- Every model in the array impaired individually
 - Considered single-diode
 - Only beam-irradiance lost
 - Impacts cell temperature
- HelioScope intrinsically calculates all string-effects





Near Shading: each module is treated as a single diode, the inverter must choose to bypass or not







Diffuse Shading: modules have location specific loss factors which can cause mismatch





Diffuse Shading: losses change based on the stringing pattern



Along-Bank Stringing

Performance Ratio:87.6%Mismatch:0.2%



Across-Bank Stringing

Performance Ratio:	87.2%
Mismatch:	0.7%

20° Tilt; 225° Azimuth; San Francisco, CA; 1.5m between rows



Irradiance Variation: ambient mismatch losses are determined by defining an irradiance distribution



- User defines the standard deviation
 - Normal distribution
 - Zero-mean
- Attempts to model cloud and other ambient effects
- Each module sampled independently, every hour
- All designs seeded equivalently



Irradiance Variation: NREL's Oahu dataset had a 15.4% average standard deviation¹ in irradiance



¹Power-Weighted Average of the Std. Deviation of each one-second timeslice



Module Quality: defined by a binning range, but mismatch losses are nominal



- Users define a lower and upper bound
 - Uniform Distribution
- Attempts to model module manufacturing tolerance
- Each module sampled once per design
- All designs seeded equivalently

Includes baseline 5% standard deviation in irradiance, 4° temperature spread



Module Quality: flash test voltage and current are correlated





Module Quality: module voltages and currents appear to be normally distributed



Module Quality: Re-binning from a 5% range to a 1% range has small benefits



Baseline design: C-Si modules in 600V design, Imperial CA TMY3, standard mismatch factors



Temperature: available, but has almost no impact on performance



- Users define a total temperature range (°C)
 - Uniform Distribution
 - Zero-centered
- Attempts to model module-tomodule differences in temperature at the same point in time
- Each module sampled independently, every hour
- All designs seeded equivalently

Includes baseline 5% standard deviation in irradiance, 5% module binning



Temperature: Back-of-module temperatures vary considerably



Source: Tigo Fleet Data



¹²R Losses: Voltage drop across the array affects all upstream components





Our Path Forward

- Design granularity enables losses to flow directly through to mismatch
- We have introduced new mismatch parameters based on random distributions, but we need better physical models
 - Spatially correlated irradiance or temperature
 - Superior binning/quality distribution
- Will have to think carefully about how and when to disaggregate mismatch calculations, since they are inherently mixed in HelioScope



We look forward to working with the community to improve PV models

Research Interests

- Spatially correlated cloud models
- Spatially correlated temperature models
- More sophisticated binning distributions

Contact Us

Paul Gibbs

- Founder, Folsom Labs
- paul.gibbs@folsomlabs.com

Folsom Labs

- www.folsomlabs.com
- San Francisco, CA

