## **Overview**

#### • Bifacial Test Bed

Motivation and Description

#### Albedo

Measured vs. satellite data and model impact

- Rear Side Irradiance
  Variability and model impact
- Bifacial Snow Gains
  Snow shed and increased energy production



# **Bifacial Test Bed**

#### Description

- 375W monofacial and bifacial crystalline silicon modules
- Embedded in existing single axis tracking array in 2020
- Colorado location snow, but dry and sunny
- Exterior and interior strings
  - Monofacial (blue)
  - Bifacial (green)
- AMPT DC-DC converters interface to plant inverters



# **Bifacial Test Bed**

#### Instrumentation

#### • >2 years of 1 minute sensor data

- Hukseflux albedometer
- Calibrated silicon reference cells for front and rear side plane of array irradiance
- Thermocouple (small) temperature sensors
- Site weather station provides wind speed and humidity measurements
- 2021 year data create hourly PVSyst model
  - 1.23 DC:AC ratio









# Albedo Monthly Averages



	Data	Sa	atellite Albec	ob
Month	Average	Source 1	Source 2	Source 3
Jan	0.39	0.27	0.31	0.53
Feb	0.52	0.27	0.34	0.39
Mar	0.27	0.21	0.26	0.26
Apr	0.25	0.21	0.23	0.23
Мау	0.25	0.2	0.2	0.21
Jun	0.23	0.2	0.2	0.16
Jul	0.22	0.19	0.2	0.18
Aug	0.23	0.19	0.18	0.17
Sep	0.23	0.2	0.2	0.17
Oct	0.29	0.2	0.21	0.20
Nov	0.30	0.2	0.24	0.21
Dec	0.34	0.27	0.31	0.38

# Albedo PVSyst Model Results

Difference in Predicted Annual Energy Production Relative to Model Using Measured Average Monthly Albedo

Source 1	Source 2	Source 3
-0.85%	-0.61%	-0.45%



Data      Satrelite Albed        Month      Average      Source 1      Source 2      Source 3        Jan      0.39      0.27      0.31      0.53        Feb      0.52      0.27      0.34      0.39        Mar      0.27      0.21      0.26      0.26
Jan      0.39      0.27      0.31      0.53        Feb      0.52      0.27      0.34      0.39        Mar      0.27      0.21      0.26      0.26
Feb      0.52      0.27      0.34      0.39        Mar      0.27      0.21      0.26      0.26
Mar 0.27 0.21 0.26 0.26
Apr 0.25 0.21 0.23 0.23
May 0.25 0.2 0.2 0.21
Jun 0.23 0.2 0.2 0.16
Jul 0.22 0.19 0.2 0.18
Aug 0.23 0.19 0.18 0.17
Sep 0.23 0.2 0.2 0.17
<b>Oct</b> 0.29 0.2 0.21 0.20
<b>Nov</b> 0.30 0.2 0.24 0.21
<b>Dec</b> 0.34 0.27 0.31 0.38

JUWI

### Rear Plane of Array Irradiance Field Measurements





Total average annual rear side irradiance fractions: Edge Sensors: 10.9% Center Sensors: 7.0%

JUUI

## Rear Plane of Array Irradiance Energy Model Impact





Total Annual Measured Bifacial Energy Gain: 6.6% Modeled Annual Bifacial Energy Gain, Avg. rPOA: 6.7% (edge +1%, center -1%)

## Rear Plane of Array Irradiance Energy Model Impact





PVSyst calculated rear side irradiance fraction: 9.1% PVSyst annual bifacial gain with calculated rear side

#### In 2021, the project site experienced

- 26 recorded snow events, some spanning multiple days
- 54 full or partial days with high ground albedo indicative of snow cover
- 20 full or partial days with module performance affected by array snow cover

Note that project site has typically sunny and dry climate characteristic of Colorado



Sunny Day Examples



**Overcast Day Examples** 



Snow Shed Examples



#### **Snow Loss Modeling Implications**

- 20 days with module performance affected by snow cover account for 20% of the system's overall annual bifacial gains
- Current models overpredict snow losses for bifacial modules on single axis trackers (limited dataset)
- Useful snow loss model enhancements could include accounting for enhanced gains from snow albedo and snow shedding for bifacial modules



### Summary

- A bifacial test bed provides useful ways to understand differences in bifacial module behavior and improve confidence in PV models
- Albedo is a non-trivial source of uncertainty in bifacial PV modeling which can be reduced by site measurements
- Irradiance can vary widely across the rear side of a module on a single axis tracker and an average value appears to reflect bifacial energy gains well
- Bifacial modules on a single axis tracker demonstrate faster snow shedding than their monofacial counterparts and receive a significant performance boost from ground snow-cover, which are not well reflected in current snow loss models





Sara MacAlpine smacalpine@juwiamericas.com

