Exceptional service in the national interest





Comparison of modeling methods and tools for bifacial PV performance

Joshua S Stein

Clifford Hansen

Chris Deline

Bill Marion

Fatima Toor

Amir Asgharzadeh Shishavan

Sandia National Laboratories

National Renewable Energy Laboratory

University of Iowa



Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International, Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525

3-Yr Bifacial Research Project (2016-2018)



Collaborative project between Sandia, NREL and University of Iowa (pvpmc.sandia.gov/pv-research/bifacial-pv-project/)

Task 1: Measure Outdoor Bifacial Performance

- Module scale
 - Adjustable rack IV curves (height, tilt, albedo, and backside shading effects)
 - Spatial variability in backside irradiance
 - Effects of backside obstructions
- String scale
 - Fixed tilt rack (tilt, mismatch effects)
 - Single axis tracker (investigate potential)
 - Two-axis tracker
- System scale
 - String level monitoring on commercial systems (validation data)

Stein, J. S., D. Riley, M. Lave, C. Deline, F. Toor and C. Hansen (2017). Outdoor Field Performance of Bifacial PV Modules and Systems. 33rd European PV Solar Energy Conference and Exhibition. Amsterdam, Netherlands. SAND2017-10254



3-Yr Bifacial Research Project (2016-2018)



Task 2: Develop Bifacial PV Performance Models

Ray Tracing simulation

- Bifacial_Radiance software release
 - github.com/cdeline/bifacial_radiance
 - CumulativeSky preprocessor
- Configuration analysis publication¹
 - Effect of row spacing, tilt optimization
 - Validation of model using Sandia field data
- CumulativeSky

View Factor models

- "2D" BifacialVF software release²
 - github.com/cdeline/bifacialVF
- "3D" –Matlab code to be released soon on the PVPMC ³.

¹ A. Asgharzadeh et al, "Analysis of the impact of installation parameters and system size on bifacial gain and energy yield of PV systems", IEEE PVSC 2017

² B. Marion et al., "A Practical Irradiance Model for Bifacial PV Modules", 44th IEEE PVSC 2017. Washington DC. https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy17osti/67847.pdf

³ C. Hansen et al., ""A Detailed Model of Rear-Side Irradiance for Bifacial PV Modules". 44th IEEE PVSC 2017. Washington DC. SAND2017-6554 C.





 dA_1

 dA_2

Bifacial PV Performance Models



- Detailed formulations require front and backside irradiance maps, module temperature, angle of incidence, shading descriptions, etc.
- Simple bifacial performance is based on the calculation of *Bifacial Gain*
 - $E_{bifacial} = (1+BG_E)E_{monofacial}$
 - Bifaical Energy Gain (BG_E) = Bifaciality * Rear Irradiance Ratio Mismatch loss
 - » Bifaciality = $\frac{P_{mp,rear}}{P_{mp,front}}$ (from single side flash data)*
 - » <u>Rear Irradiance Ratio</u> = f(albedo, tilt, row spacing, height, diffuse ratio, sun position, position in row, etc.)
 - » *Mismatch loss* is due to rear irradiance spatial variability, shading, racking, and electrical configuration (string vs. microinverter)



Bifaciality

- Bifacial modules have a range of bifaciality depending on cell technology and design.
 - N-type Silicon (e.g. Prism Solar) ~90%
 - Hetrojunction (e.g., Sanyo, Panasonic, SunPreme) ~90%
 - PERC (e.g., SolarWorld, Longi Solar) ~60-75%
 - IBC (e.g., SunPower) ~30%
- Caution: Increasing bifaciality can lead to decreases in frontside efficiency.

Rear Irradiance Ratio



- Rear Irradiance Ratio = $\frac{G_{rear}}{G_{front}}$
- *G*_{front} is calculated using conventional transposition models
 - e.g., Perez, Hay & Davies, etc.
- *G_{rear}* depends on many factors
 - Ground-reflected irradiance (albedo, tilt, height, row-spacing, position in row, Sun position)
 - Sunlit ground
 - Shaded ground
 - Sky-diffuse irradiance (tilt, rowspacing, sun position)
 - Direct irradiance on back of array (tilt, azimuth, Sun position (season, latitude))



Measuring Rear Irradiance



- Sandia built a "mock" PV module with ten reference cells mounted on the backside.
 - Placed this "module" in different positions in arrays to measure the spatial irradiance patterns on the back of the array.
- NREL built a half-scale array model with three rows, adjustable tilt, height, and row-spacing.
 - Placed 4 reference cells facing back and 2 facing forwards in the middle of the middle row





"2D" View Factor Models



- NREL model calculates backside irradiance for each row of cells and builds an irradiance profile along the "vertical" direction of the module or array.
- Backside irradiance at a point on the module is the sum of:
 - AOI corrected beam irradiance + $\sum_{i=1^{\circ}}^{180^{\circ}} VF_iF_iI_i$
 - \succ VF_i = view factor for each increment
 - \succ F_i = AOI correction
 - \succ I_i = Irradiance viewed by the ith increment
- Irradiance is either from sky diffuse, ground reflected, or reflected from other parts of the array (rows behind).
- PVsyst implements a similar approach.



Example "2D" VF Results



 Model provides irradiance along a vertical profile of the module or array





 Fast simulation time (within seconds) provides monthly trends or annual hourly results

"3D" View Factor Model

 Sandia model is similar to 2D model except integration is performed over 2D ground grid and 3D objects.

$$F_{1\to 2} = \frac{1}{A_1} \int_{A_1} \int_{A_2} \frac{\cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2}{\pi s^2} \, \mathrm{d}A_2 \, \mathrm{d}A_1$$

$$E_{back,k}(t) = E_{ground,k}(t) + E_{sky}(t)VF_{k \to sky} + E_{beam}(t)$$
$$E_{ground,k}(t) = \sum_{i} \alpha_{i}G_{i}(t)VF_{i \to k}$$

- Backside irradiance is calculated for each 2D cell
- Ground irradiance is calculated on a 2D grid
- Other modules and structures cast shadows on ground but do not directly reflect light to cells.





Backside irradiance map







Example "3D"VF Results





Solar noon on the spring equinox.

Example "3D" VF Results



- Clear Sky, Spring equinox, Albuquerque, NM (35°N)
- 15 deg tilt
- Albedo = 0.55
- Height = 0.5 m
- Row spacing= 2 m







3D Ray Trace Model

- Based on RADIANCE (reverse ray tracing model from LBNL)
- Can include complex objects (racking, ballast, equipment racks, etc.)
- Computationally complex
 - Run times are slow
- 8760 hr annual simulations not practical unless....
 - CumulativeSky approach¹ integrates time varying irradiance into annual insolation.





Single hourly Perez sky (W/r

conditions (kWh/m²)

Example Ray Trace Results



Real system





Irradiance Results





Example Ray Trace Results





Effect of height and cell spacing





Summary

- Modeling of bifacial performance is done for a variety of reasons
 - 1. Evaluate bifacial module and system designs (effects of frame, j-box, cell spacing, etc.).
 - 2. Analyze module or small system performance outdoors.
 - 3. Analyze bifacial system performance in large arrays.
- 2D view factor models are best for production estimates from large systems with uniform rows.
- 3D view factor model can simulate edge effects and small and diverse systems.
- 3D Ray tracing allows specific details to be included (e.g., frames, racking, ballast, inverters, combiners, BOS, etc.).



Questions?



Joshua S. Stein PhD

jsstein@sandia.gov