

Horizontal Axis Trackers with Bifacial Modules in PVsyst

10th PVPMC Workshop

1-2.5.2018 Albuquerque NM, USA

André Mermoud, Bruno Wittmer Bruno.Wittmer@pvsyst.com

PVSYST SA - Route du Bois-de-Bay 107 - 1242 Satigny - Suisse www.pvsyst.com

Overview

- Bifacial Models in PVsyst
 - Fixed Tilt Sheds
 - Horizontal Axis Trackers

Bifacial Tracker Simulations

- Bifacial Gain
- Impact of Pitch (GCR), and Height
- Impact of Latitude and Climate
- Summary and Outlook



Introduction

Bifacial Shed Model was introduced in PVsyst V6.60

- 2D Model for fixed tilt sheds
- Can be used for long regular rows

Bifacial Tracker Model since PVsyst V6.70

- 2D Model for horizontal axis trackers

+

Generalization of shed model with varying tilt



Bifacial model

for fixed tilt sheds

Unlimited trackers: first step towards horizontal bifacial tracking model (since V6.6.7)







Using the Bifacial Models in PVsyst



Bifacial Shed Model in PVsyst

Bifacial calculation steps



Irradiance on Ground

Ground Acceptance



2.

Ground acceptance

Distance at ground level [m]

of diffuse light

4. Sky diffuse and direct on back side



Bifacial Tracker Model in PVsyst

Irradiance on Ground

- Direct (Beam) Fraction
- Sky Diffuse Fraction

Reflection from Ground

- To back side
- To front side
- Lost to sky

Green Contributions are constant for sheds. With trackers, they become functions of sun position (sun profile angle).





Additional contributions

- Direct (beam) fraction on rear side
- Sky diffuse fraction on rear side
- IAM losses for each contribution

This bifacial model can be used for horizontal axis trackers. The tracking algorithm minimizes the Angle of Incidence!



Simplified Preliminary Calculation

Monthly breakdown of irradiances



On Ground

On PV module rear side









Bifacial Simulation and Results

Unlimited Trackers

Additional contributions with Bifacial Models

- Global incident on ground
- Ground albedo
- View factor rear side (irradiance renormalization for ground and module surface)
- Sky diffuse on rear side
- Beam effective on rear side
- Shading loss on rear side
- Total irradiance on rear side
- Ground reflection on front side

IAM losses are included in View Factor





Studying Bifacial Behavior with PVsyst

Optimization Tool

Allows quick parametric scans to optimize Irradiance or Yield

Batch Mode

Parametric scans with many parameters and output to CSV files for further analysis







Hourly Results

Simulation results in hourly steps for > 80 different variables





Custom Analysis



The following results were obtained with PVsyst 6.71 batch mode and hourly result files



Bifacial Gain and Tracker Gain

Bifacial Irradiance Gain (BG_{Irr})



 $BG_{Irr} = rac{Rear \, Side \, Irradiance}{Front \, Side \, Irradiance}$

The full Bifacial Gain BG includes also bifaciality factor and bifacial mismatch It is smaller than BG_{Irr}

Tracker Irradiance Gain (TG_{Irr})







Tracker irradiance gain with respect to Fixed Tilt Sheds,

same width, pitch (GCR) and height over ground



Comparisons of Gain Factors











Tracker Irradiance Gain



Parameters used here:

Site: Albuquerque NM, 35.05°N, 106.62°W, 1614m ASL Weather data: Meteonorm 7.1, typical year Geometry: Pitch=6.6m, width 3m, GCR 45%, height 3m **Ground albedo 30%**

Impact of Layout on Irradiance Gain

Parameters used here: Site: Albuquerque NM, 35.05°N, 106.62°W, 1614m ASL Weather data: Meteonorm 7.1, typical year Geometry: Pitch=6.6m, Width 3m, GCR 45%, Height 3m Ground albedo 30%



Ground Covering Ratio GCR:



Height over Ground:





Bifacial Gain for different Latitudes (Clear Sky)

Parameters used here: Site: Artificial points from Equator to 70°N, 0m ASL Weather data: Clear sky model Geometry: Pitch=6.6m, Width 3m, GCR 45%, Height 3m **Ground albedo 30%**



Irradiance Values



Bifacial Irradiance Gain (BG_{Irr})





Bifacial Gain in different Climates (Trackers)

Site	Stockholm	Sharorah	Atacama	Kuala Lumpur
Latitude	59.35	17.5	-23.42	3.12
Diff/Glob	49.5%	26.1%	28.6%	58.9%
GlobEff	1225	2999	2889	1753
GlobGnd	435	1059	1008	804
GlobBak	137	286	276	236
BG _{Irr} TR	11.2%	9.5%	9.5%	13.5%



With horizontal axis trackers the bifacial gain is always larger for the diffuse component







Bifacial Gain in different Climates (Trackers)

Site	Stockholm	Sharorah	Atacama	Kuala Lumpur
Latitude	59.35	17.5	-23.42	3.12
Diff/Glob	49.5%	26.1%	28.6%	58.9%
GlobEff	1225	2999	2889	1753
GlobGnd	435	1059	1008	804
GlobBak	137	286	276	236
BG _{Irr} TR	11.2%	9.5%	9.5%	13.5%





With horizontal axis trackers the bifacial gain is always larger for the diffuse component





Bifacial Gain in different Climates (Fixed Tilt)

Site	Stockholm	Sharorah	Atacama	Kuala Lumpur
Latitude	59.35	17.5	-23.42	3.12
Diff/Glob	49.5%	26.1%	28.6%	58.9%
GlobEff	1384	2375	2327	1384
GlobGnd	474	1282	1214	924
GlobBak	137	327	313	251
BG _{Irr} FT	12.5%	13.8%	13.5%	18.2%







Summary and Outlook

Summary

- Bifacial model for horizontal axis trackers was implemented in PVsyst
- Detailed simulations and parametric studies are possible
- Bifacial gain for trackers is smaller than for fixed tilt sheds
- Diffuse contributions have higher BG in trackers than clear sky conditions

Open Questions, Next Steps

- Validation against measurements
- Model the mismatch due to non-uniform irradiance on back side
- Bifacial model for vertical fixed tilt installations
- General bifacial model based on near shading 3D drawing

