

Indoor Soil Deposition Chamber: Validation of Anti-Soiling Coating Claims



P. Ravi¹, M. Muller², L. Simpson², T. Curtis¹ and G. TamizhMani¹ ¹ASU-PRL ²NREL manit@asu.edu

Funding support: DOE SunShot National Laboratory Multiyear Partnership (SuNLaMP), NREL

2018 PV Systems Symposium, Albuquerque, New Mexico, May 1, 2018



Objective

- **Problem:** Soiling = Transmission loss = Production loss = Revenue loss
- Solution: Reduce soiling loss using anti-soiling coatings or remove soiling using various cost-effective cleaning techniques.
- **Objective:** Develop a standardized technique to quantitatively determine the effectiveness of anti-soiling coatings.



Indoor Artificial Soil Deposition Chamber - *why is it needed?*

- To determine the effectiveness of anti-soiling coatings or cleaning techniques so the vendor claims can be quantitatively validated.
- To standardize the artificial soil deposition conditions simulating natural soiling conditions and sequence (standardization requires repeatable deposition within a lab and reproducible deposition between the labs) so a national or an international standard can be developed.







One-chamber Soil Deposition Method

PROCESS SEQUENCE Clean coupon 3 x 2 x 2 ft chamber Place the required amount of soil kept on a Acrylic (1/4")Place PV coupon on support stand support stand Detachable / Cool coupon using Peltier elements attachable walls with Introduce humidify using humidifier magnetic strips Dew formation on the coupon surface Humidity/temperature controller and sensor Generate a dust cloud using N₂ burst attached on a wall (not Wait for the cloud to settle down show here) Bake coupon using Peltier elements Repeat the cycle Humidifier hose sending humidified air Thermoelectric Soil Compressed N₂ cylinder cooling & dispensing chamber tubing heating



Soiling Station Animation





Components Used in the One-Chamber Method



Adafruit - Thermoelectric Cooler/Heater Assembly (12V/5A)

Detachable / attachable soil dispersion chamber

2 g soil sample



Auber Humidity controller





Walgreens cool mist humidifier



COOLING



Test Modules

- Three 1-cell modules were fabricated at ASU-Photovoltaic Reliability Laboratory.
- One module (AS1) was shipped to the anti-soiling (AS) coating manufacturer who coated the 1-cell module with their AS coating.
- Anti-soiling coating liquid was purchased from manufacturer 2 and was coated on the second 1-cell module (AS2) using a paint roller. This roller is commercially used to apply coatings on glass.
- The third 1-cell module (UC) was the reference uncoated module.









Typical Testing Sequence

- Soiling loss is measured through %T loss using lsc loss
- Soiling uniformity is measured using Isc losses of 9 individual cells laminated in a single module
- The indoor soiling chamber is used to deposit soil on the three 1-cell modules. The modules are placed flat at 0° tilt during soil deposition.
- Three layers of soil (**3 cycles**) are deposited on the surface of 1-cell modules for each trial in this study. 2 g of **AZ reference dust** is used in each deposition cycle.
- Isc of the module was measured in-situ after each cycle. Weight of the module was also recorded with precision of 0.001 g (1 mg) after every cycle.



In-situ Transmittance (Isc) Measurement



In-situ Soiling Uniformity (Isc) Measurement







Adhesion of Soil Layers

Soil deposited on PV module surface can be classified into three or four distinct layers:

- A. First layer of soil, Layer A, is cemented (chemically bonded) to the surface ______ of PV module and is extremely hard to remove by rain or wind*
- B. Second layer of soil, Layer B, can be removed by rain but is resistant to high speed wind.
- C. Third layer of soil, Layer C, is loosely bound and can be cleaned by rain and high speed wind.
- D. Fourth layer of soil, Layer D, is the weakest bonded layer and can be removed by moderate wind (or gravity by tilting the module vertically).

	Module Surface	
		Layer A
		Layer B
		Layer C
ce		Layer D



Wind Tunnel Testing

- The soiled modules are placed on a stand fixed at 75° tilt with the module facing the wind, so that the wind completely covers the module surface.
- An open-circuit sub-sonic wind tunnel is used in this study. The wind velocity can be varied between 5 m/s and 11 m/s.
- The wind velocity can be changed using a dial in the wind tunnel tester and the velocity was also verified using a handheld anemometer.





Rain test

Approach 1 (not good):

UL 1703 water spray nozzle

- The soiled module is placed on the stand. The distance between the module and the center of the nozzle is 3 ft.
- The water jet pressure in this experiment is set to be 0.5 psi.
- The valve is opened and the soiled modules are exposed to "rain". Water also collects in the beaker and when water reaches a specified level in the beaker (1mm, 5mm and 10mm), the valve is closed.
- Even at 0.5 psi, the intensity of "rain" is high. 1 mm rain is collected in 25 seconds. In case of a light rain, 1 mm might take much longer to collect.



UC

UC



Beaker to measure amount of rain



After 1mm rain



Rain test

Approach 2 (yet to be implemented):

- The distance between the center of the nozzle to the module to be set at 6 ft.
- The current nozzles are to be replaced by 1/8 HH-5 full jet nozzles (Spraying Systems Co.; *spray.com*)
- Rain gage is used to measure the rain amount.
- At 10 psi, we would obtain a 2 inch/h rain (1 min and 10 seconds for 1 mm rain) with droplet size of around 4 mm.
- By varying the inlet pressure, different rain intensities and droplet sizes can be obtained. Light Rain, Heavy Rain, Fog conditions can be simulated.



1/8 HH-5 full jet spray nozzle to be installed





Design of Experiments: Wind Test





Design of Experiment: Rain test





UC

ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY PHOTOVOLTAIC RELIABILITY LABORATORY

Reflectance of clean AS coated and uncoated split-cell module

• A split-cell monocrystalline module was fabricated with one half coated with anti-soiling coating and the other half was uncoated.



- Isc before and after coating the AS2 was measured using the in-situ Isc measurement setup in the indoor soiling chamber. The transmission loss was determined to be 0.6 %.
 - The transmittance loss for AS1 was calculated in a similar fashion to be 0.62 %.



Reflectance Measurements

 3 g of AZ test dust and fielded PV array surface collected soil samples were used to deposit soil on the split-cell module and the reflectance measurements were taken at the center of each half cell.





Wind gain comparison of UC, AS1 and AS2 modules after 6 minutes of wind exposure









Wind gain $(\%) = \frac{(Isc after wind exposure-Isc of soiled module)}{Isc of soiled module} * 100$



Wind gain comparison of UC, AS1 and AS2 modules after 6 minutes of wind exposure











Summary

- An optimized soil deposition chamber is developed to validate the claims related to anti-soiling coatings and cleaning techniques.
- Test setups related to wind cleaning and rain cleaning have been explored.
- Wind gain dramatically decreases as the humidity during deposition increases
- No reflectance difference is practically observed between the Arizona road dust and the collected soil from module surface installed in Arizona
- A repeatable standard operating procedure (SOP) is being developed. This SOP may be converted into a national or an international test standard.