

Rethinking Typical Year Data Modeling for Solar Energy Application

Aron Habte, Manajit Sengupta, Grant Buster, Yu Xie, Jaemo Yang, and Billy Roberts

2023 PV Performance Modeling Collaborative, May 09 -10

Photo from iStock-627281636

Motivation

- Limitation of the current TMY modeling: the current application of TMY datasets is prone to bias when converted to POA and can be significantly different from a new method to develop and generate a typical dataset.
 - This new approach considers the plane-of-array (POA) irradiance as the main driving variable for the selection of 12 typical months that make up the new dataset, referred to as Typical POA Year (TPY).
 - This study uses the NSRDB dataset (1998–2018) version 3 to produce both TPYs and TMYs for solar energy and various other applications.
 - Hypothetical solar systems information and POA irradiance data for both fixedtilt and single-axis tracking are used to generate TPYs and associated generation capacity profiles for both TMYs and TPYs.

Method: TMY modeling Approach



- Perez transposition model on long-term NSRDB time-series data was used to generate POA irradiance data for fixed latitude tilt and single-axis tracking (eastto-west tracking) orientations.
- Hourly data from the POA irradiance timeseries to generate a typical POA year (TPY) by changing the weight.

Method: Statistical Evaluation

Statistical Metrics



CDF Differences between TPY and TMY



The figure demonstrates the daily averages of capacity factor (CF) (Y-axis) for one location/pixel for the month of April for single axis scenario for TPY and TMY. It is showing that the typical datasets have differences.

NREL | 4

Method: Energy Yield and Evaluation

Energy Yield Simulation

System model inputs for Energy yield simulation			
Input Description	Fixed	Single-Axis	
Azimuth angle (degrees)	180	180	
DC/AC ratio	1.1	1.1	
Ground coverage ratio (GCR)(fraction)	0.4	0.4	
Inverter efficiency (%)	96	96	
System Losses (%)	14.0757	14.0757	
System size (MW)	5	5	
Tilt (degrees)	latitude	0	
Software Module	pvwattsv7	pvwattsv7	

The analysis implemented Köppen-Geiger (KG) climate zones to provide insight into interpreting the results

Climate Zones

Climate zones based on Köppen-Geiger

Main Climates	Precipitation	Temperature
A: equatorial/tropical	W: desert	h: hot arid
B: arid & semi-arid	S: steppe	k: cold arid
C: warm temperate	f: fully humid	a: hot summer
D: snow	s: summer dry	b: warm summer
E: polar	w: winter dry	c: cool summer
	m: monsoonal	d: extremely continental
		f: polar frost
		T: polar tundra

NREL | 5

Result at the Regional Scale (Latitude Tilt)



Result by Climate Zones (Latitude Tilt)





The figures demonstrated the existing TMY dataset overestimates energy yield by about ± 5 % as shown by the MBE statistic as compared to TPY for most of climate zones. However, the snow or continental and warm temperate climate zones labeled as 'Dsb' and 'Csb' demonstrated higher differences in the winter months and slightly higher in the summer months.

Result at the Regional Scale (Single Axis)



Result by Climate Zones (Single Axis)





The figures demonstrated the existing TMY dataset overestimates energy yield by about ± 5 % as shown by the MBE statistic as compared to TPY for most of climate zones. However, the snow or continental and warm temperate climate zones labeled as 'Dsb' and 'Csb' demonstrated higher differences in the winter months and slightly higher in the summer months.

Summary

>TMY datasets are used during the design phase of many projects, such as roof top PV.

- ➤This paper describes a rigorous approach to remove the main limitations of the current TMY formulation and develop a methodology that is better adapted to solar applications.
- ➢This new approach, which results in a TPY file, demonstrated significant differences over the Americas, with the magnitude depending on climate zone and season, when compared to the corresponding TMY dataset.
- ➤The conventional TMY datasets typically mis-predict the energy yield of PV systems by about ±5% over the interquartile range, as compared to TPY. That difference can even reach up to ±30% in some areas, particularly in winter.

NREL | 10

Thank You!

www.nrel.gov

This work was authored by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided by U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Solar Energy Technologies Office. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

Photo from iStock-627281636