



## Towards a Digital Twin: strategy and research for the PV industry EU PVPMC

Lluvia OCHOA, Nikola HRELJA, Julien CHAPON 9/11/2023



PV system Location: France Size: > 4 MW Data quality: all

#### Method

Estimate production loss over the years including only CSDs. CSDs selected by the shape of the daily power curves. Estimation YoY per time segments (e.g., month, weeks)

#### Proof of concept

Inputs: power Outputs: PLR Aggregation: time-segment, then overall Time range: more than 5 years Filters: CSD filter

Scale-up potential Needs weather data: no

Method complexity: easy Time to validation: fast Real-time: not applicable

## PLR estimation without weather data





Hours





#### PLR estimation







Time-segment aggregation



CSD: clear sky day; PRL: Performance Loss Rate; YoY: year-on-year. By L. Guillemot

PV system Location: France Size: > 4 MW Data quality: all

#### Method

Estimate production loss over the years including only CSDs. CSDs selected by the shape of the daily power curves. Estimation YoY per time segments (e.g., month, weeks)

## PLR estimation without weather data





Inputs: power Outputs: PLR Aggregation: time-segment, then overall Time range: more than 5 years Filters: CSD filter

#### Scale-up potential Needs weather data: no Method complexity: easy Time to validation: fast Real-time: not applicable







10

0.5

0.0 -0.5 -1.0



**TotalEnergies** 



CSD: clear sky day; PRL: Performance Loss Rate; YoY: year-on-year. By L. Guillemot

#### PV system

Location: Uganda Size: 10 MW Data quality: good, then bad

#### Method

Classify inverter malfunction events using **simple tests on the electrical data at inverter level**. Discriminate from events at transformer- and plant-levels to identify individual inverter faults.

Must be fast and explainable.

#### Proof of concept

Inputs: P, I, U. GPOA (optional) Outputs: Events of inverter faults Aggregation: no Time frequency: 1-5 min Filters: outliers, missing values, day/night

#### Scale-up potential

Needs weather data: optional Method complexity: easy Time to validation: fast Real-time: yes

## Inverter problems



PV system Location: France Size: 4.5, 12 MW Data quality: bad

#### Method Inspection of PR\_Tcorr. Estimation of soiling rate using satellite data and empirical dust deposition models.

#### Proof of concept

Inputs: electrical data and atmospheric data Outputs: PR\_Tcorr, soiling rate Aggregation: daily Time range: at least one year Filters: 10h-16h, irrad>400W

Scale-up potential Needs weather data: yes Method complexity: medium Time to validation: long Real-time: possible, probably not necessary

7

# Soiling estimation

#### Site #1

Although a pattern can be observed, it cannot be corroborated due to poor data quality.



Site #3



PR\_Tcorr: temperature-corrected Performance Ratio By: C. Becot, F.Salmi, E. Le-Borgne, A. Toumiranta et al.



PV system Location: Qatar Size: test facility Data quality: excellent

#### Method Signal decomposition

approach. Implementation of Python library solar-data-tools by B. Meyers

#### Proof of concept

Inputs: power Outputs: soiling rate Aggregation: no Time frequency: 1-20 min Filters: no

#### Scale-up potential Needs weather data: no Method complexity: difficult

Time to validation: long Real-time: not necessary

# Soiling estimation



#### Test facility #1

- String cleaned every 2 months
- Reference cell cleaned weekly
- Real soiling ratio estimated with string and reference cell
- Severe soiling
- PVInsight works very well

#### Estimation of Soiling Losses in Unlabeled PV Data

Bennet Meyers<sup>1,2</sup> <sup>1</sup> SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, CA, 94025, USA <sup>2</sup> Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 94305, USA

Abstract—We provide a methodology for estimating the losses due to soiling for photovoltaic (PV) systems. We focus this work on estimating the losses from historical power production data that are unlabeled, *i.e.* power measurements with time stamps, but no other information such as site configuration or meteorological data. We present a validation of this approach on a small fleet of typical rooftop <u>PV</u> systems. The proposed method differs from prior work in that the construction of a performance index is not required to analyze soiling loss. This approach is appropriate for analyzing the soiling losses in field production data from fleets of distributed rooftop systems and is highly automatic, allowing for scaling to large fleets of heterogeneous <u>PV</u> systems. Our approach takes unlabeled <u>PV</u> power generation measurements as an input and returns an estimate of the soiling loss over time, given as a percent loss relative to the unsoiled performance. This trend may be used to calculate secondary statistics such as the total energy loss or seasonal loss patterns. We validate this method on synthetic data, labeled data from a soiling test site, and on representative unlabled data. The algorithm is available as a module in the Solar Data Tools package [4], [5]. This approach is uniquely suited to the analysis of fleet-scale <u>PV</u> systems, where it can be difficult or impossible to get suitable reference data for normalization.



#### Results

#### PV system Location: Uganda

Size: 10 MW Data quality: good, then bad

#### Method

For each time interval (e.g., week, month) solve an **optimization** problem to find the parameters of the diode model that best fit the **MPP** or OC data. Using all the time segments, evaluate the **evolution** of these parameters over time.

#### Proof of concept

Inputs: MPP data or OC data. Outputs: Isc, Io, Rs, Rsh, etc Aggregation: daily, then time segment Time range: years Filters: in progress

#### Scale-up potential

Needs weather data: yes Method complexity: difficult Time to validation: long Real-time: not applicable

# IV Curve parametrization

#### The Suns-Vmp method (Sun, X. et al., 2009)



#### Preliminary results Site #2



100 100 90 Remaining  $J_{PH}$   $J_{01}$   $J_{02}$   $R_{SH}$   $R_{S}$  70 0 2 4 6Time (Year)



#### By N. Hrelja, N. Harder, C. Becot

Sun, X., Chavali, R.V.K., Alam, M.A., 2019. Real-time monitoring and diagnosis of photovoltaic system degradation only using maximum power point—the Suns-Vmp method. Prog. Photovoltaics Res. Appl. 27, 55–66. https://doi.org/10.1002/pip.3043



8

## Many PV sites, many solutions



- 1. Performance Loss Rate estimation
- 2. Identification of inverter problems
- 3. Soiling estimation
- 4. IV curve parametrization: source causes of degradation
- 5. Clipping and curtailment
- 6. Machine learning-based anomaly detection (supervised, unsupervised)

## **Integrated Power**





### > 100 TWh production by 2030

# A secure portfolio of 35 GW by 2025







## **Recommendations to Business Units**





**TotalEnergies** 

## **Standardization**



#### Data quality grading

Temporal availability grading. Taken from Lindig et al. 2022

| Letter Grade | Outliers (%)                           | Missing percentage (%) | Longest Gap (days) |
|--------------|--|------------------------|--------------------|
| A            | Below 10                               | Below 10               | Below 15           |
| В            | 10-20                                  | 10–25                  | 15-30              |
| С            | 20-30                                  | 25–40                  | 30–90              |
| D            | Above 30                               | Above 40               | Above 90           |
| P/F          | Time series $\ge 24$ months $= >$ Pass |                        |                    |

#### Future work: Spatial availability grading

| Number grade | Electrical data | Level    | On-site meteo data |
|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------------|
| 1            | P, I, U         | String   | GHI, Tamb          |
| 2            | P, I, U         | String   | No                 |
| 3            | P, I, U         | Inverter | GHI, Tamb          |
| Ν            | Р               | Plant    | No                 |

# Future work: selection of the best method depending on data quality



Ultimate goal = Decision-making tool for PV plant diagnosis

## **Standardization**

#### Data standards

- MINES Paris https://libinsitu.readthedocs.io/ en/latest/
- Orange Button https://myorangebutton.com
- FAIR
- Massel, L., Shchukin, N., Cybikov, A., 2021. Digital twin development of a solar power plant. E3S Web Conf. 289, 03002. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/20 2128903002



| Search Fields: 📀 Concept Name 🚯         | Search Modes: 🔾 Find Match 🚯 |
|---|------------------------------|
| 🔿 Item Type 🚯                           | Find Direct Usage 1          |
|   | Find All Usage 1             |
| PVSystem                                |                              |
| <ul> <li>CapacityAC</li> </ul>          |                              |
| <ul> <li>CapacityDC</li> </ul>          |                              |
| <ul> <li>ElectricalServiceID</li> </ul> |                              |
| <ul> <li>RiskCategory</li> </ul>        |                              |
| <ul> <li>StructureID</li> </ul>         |                              |
| D i d                                   |                              |



## Ambition: a true Digital Twin





16 | Kritzinger, W., Karner, M., Traar, G., Henjes, J., Sihn, W., 2018. Digital Twin in manufacturing: A categorical literature review and classification, in: IFAC-PapersOnLine. pp. 1016–1022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2018.08.474







Federated learning? Open sourcing?





# Thank you